RECEIPT #: CCH505376009 DATE PAID: 03/22/18 08:56 AM PAYMENT: #435.00 31 CII/CASE: BS172934 LEA/DEF#:

CASH: CHANGE: CARD: RECEIVED: CHECK:

\$435.00 \$0.00 \$0.00

VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE UNDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT

28

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a suit to enforce the California Public Records Act ("CPRA") as to basic, easy-to-provide information regarding the operations of the Larchmont Village Property Owners Association, a.k.a. the Larchmont Village Business Improvement District ("the BID".) The BID has disregarded its duties under the CPRA and has withheld various public records which are not exempt from disclosure and which must be provided upon request.
- 2. The BID's continued flouting of the CPRA by its refusal to release records in response to Petitioner's requests casts a veil of secrecy over matters as fundamentally public as the BID's communications with the City of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles Police Department, the contract between the BID and the consultants who lobby the City on its behalf, and records from the BID's board meetings, such as agendas, minutes, and distributed materials.
- 3. The public has a strong interest in the withheld information and the BID, along with the property owners it represents, must cease to subvert the public virtues of transparency and open government, and must no longer violate Petitioner's statutory right to the requested records.
- 4. Therefore, by this petition and pursuant to the Code of Civ. Procedure §§ 1085, et seq. and Cal. Gov't Code §§ 6250, et seq.¹, Petitioner seeks a writ of mandate to enforce the CPRA by compelling the Larchmont Village Business Improvement District to locate and produce the requested records.

PARTIES

5. Petitioner Adrian Riskin is a resident of Los Angeles, has a PhD in mathematics, is a mathematics professor at a local college, and is an open records activist. Petitioner uses public records requests to investigate and understand the activities of business improvement districts ("BIDs"), Los Angeles City government, and the relationship between them. Petitioner publishes and blogs about the records he obtains at michaelkohlhaas.org. Petitioner's collection of records has assisted researchers and the public at large in understanding BIDs. Petitioner has worked with

¹ Unless otherwise stated, all references to code sections are to the Cal. Gov't Code.

students at U.C. Berkeley School of Law's Police Advocacy Clinic and documentary filmmakers producing a film on the Greater West Hollywood Food Coalition and the Hollywood Media District BID. Petitioner's work has made a tangible impact on open government and the enforcement of accountability laws. For example, in response to his discovery—via information obtained through public records requests—that private BID patrol operators were not registering with the Los Angeles Police Commission as required by law, the Los Angeles City Attorney resumed enforcement of that provision and a BID patrol was investigated for its use of excessive force. Petitioner has empowered the public to use the CPRA effectively in both research and civic activism by publishing a guide to the practical use of the CPRA in the City of Los Angeles. Petitioner is a member of the public within the meaning of §§ 6252(b)-(c).

6. Respondent the Larchmont Village Property Owners Association is a property owners' association pursuant to the Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994, California Streets & Highways Code §§ 36600, et seq., Respondent contracts with the City of Los Angeles and other entities to manage the Larchmont Village Business Improvement District. Respondent is subject to the CPRA both as a matter of state law (see California Streets & Highways Code § 36612) and under the terms of its contract with the City of Los Angeles.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This court has jurisdiction under Gov't Code §§ 6258, 6259, Code of Civ. Proc.
 § 1085, and Article VI, Section 10 of the California Constitution.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court. The records in question, or some portion of them, are situated in the County of Los Angeles, Gov't Code § 6259; the acts or omissions complained of occurred in the County of Los Angeles, Code of Civ. Proc. § 393; finally, Respondents are located in the County of Los Angeles, Code of Civ. Proc. § 395.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. This petition concerns three separate CPRA requests Petitioner submitted to the BID. Petitioner submitted the requests on April 16, 2017, April 17, 2017, and May 2, 2017. Petitioner submitted the requests to the BID via its agents, including BID President Tom Kneafsey, BID Co-Executive Directors Heather Duffy Boylston and Rebecca Hutchinson, BID attorney J. Thomas Cairns, Jr., and BID Manager Erin Dolan.
- 10. These requests, discussed in more detail below, sought easy-to-provide public records such as communications to the City of Los Angeles, agendas and minutes from BID board meetings, and materials distributed at BID board meetings. To date, Petitioner has not received even a single record in response to these three requests.

Request #1: communications with the City of Los Angeles; minutes of certain BID board meetings; and the BID consultant contracts

- 11. On April 16, 2017, Petitioner submitted a CPRA request ("Request #1") to the BID for three categories of records. Petitioner emailed the request to BID manager Dolan, BID President Kneafsey, and BID Co-Executive Director Boylston. <u>A true and accurate copy of the email containing Request #1 is attached as Exhibit A.</u>
- 12. First, Request #1 sought emails "between anyone at the Larchmont Village BID, staff and board, and anyone at either lacity.org or lapd.online from between January 1, 2016 and March 31, 2017." The request included details as to what was meant by "emails between," and guidance as to the format in which the requested emails should be provided. See Exhibit A.
- 13. Second, Request #1 sought copies of the minutes of BID board meetings which took place on October 13, 2014, October 15, 2015, and October 12, 2016, in whatever form those minutes may exist, as the BID is required to maintain by California Corporations Code §1500. See Exhibit A.
- Third, Request #1 sought contracts between the BID and any consultants it used during its most recent renewal process. See Exhibit A.
- 15. The BID did not respond to Request #1 within the 10 day statutory deadline contained in § 6253(c). On May 2, 2017, Petitioner sent a follow-up email to the BID. A true and

accurate copy of Petitioner's May 2, 2017, email following up on Request #1 is attached at Exhibit B.

- 16. On May 11, 2017, Petitioner, having still received no response to Request #1, sent a second follow-up to Request #1. In addition to Dolan, Kneafsey, and Boylston, Petitioner also included Hutchinson and Cairns on the email. A true and accurate copy of Petitioner's May 11, 2017, second follow-up email to Request #1 is attached as Exhibit C.
- 17. On February 17, 2018, Petitioner sent a final follow-up email to the BID regarding Request #1, as well as Requests #2, and #3. A true and accurate copy of the final follow-up email is attached as Exhibit D.
- 18. Records obtained from the City of Los Angeles via a public records act request indicate that responsive records exist. For example, the Los Angeles City Clerk's office sent Kneafsey an email on January 4, 2017, informing Kneafsey that the BID's annual planning report was approved. Find a true and accurate copy of that email attached as Exhibit E.
- 19. As of this writing, it has been 335 days since Petitioner submitted Request #1 and, to date, the BID has not made a cetermination of disclosability, has not indicated whether responsive records exist, and has not provided any records in response to Request #1.

Request #2: BID meeting agendas; emails relating to the October 2016 BID board meeting and/or emails relating to BID operations from October 2016

- 20. On April 17, 2017, Petitioner submitted a CPRA request ("Request #2") to the BID for two categories of records. Petitioner emailed the request to Dolan, Kneafsey, and Boylston. A true and accurate copy of the email containing Petitioner's 4/16/17, CPRA request is attached as Exhibit F.
- 21. First, Request #2 sought, "[a]gendas for all meetings of the LVBID Board and its committees, if any, from January 1, 2014 through the present. These should be the copies of the agendas you posted outside your principle office 72 hours in advance of the meetings or 24 hours in advance of meetings called special." See Exhibit F.
- Second, Request #2 sought, "all emails between anyone at the BID, staff or Board member, from October 2016, that relates to the October 2016 Board meeting." Petitioner continued

2.7

that if there were only a few of these emails, Petitioner would like to see all emails relating to the operation of the BID from October 2016. See Exhibit F.

- 23. The BID did not respond to Request #2 within the 10 day statutory deadline contained in § 6253(c). On April 28, 2017, Petitioner sent a follow-up email to the BID. In addition to inquiring as to the status of Request #2, Petitioner suggested a formatting compromise that might make production easier for the BID. A true and accurate copy of Petitioner's April 28, 2017, email following up on Request #2 is attached at Exhibit G.
- 24. On May 11, 2017, Petitioner, having still received no response to Request #2, sent a second follow-up to Request #2. In addition to Kneafsey, and Boylston, Petitioner also included Hutchinson and Cairns on the email. <u>A true and accurate copy of Petitioner's May 11, 2017, second follow-up email</u> to Request #2 is attached as Exhibit H.
- 25. The BID, in the person of Cairns, responded to Request #2 via email on May 16, 2017. The BID's email did no: make a determination of disclosability, nor did it confirm the existence of responsive records, nor did it provide any records. Rather, it merely stated, "[t]he LVBID is currently reviewing your request and its records. We expect to respond further within the next 14 days." A true and accurate copy of the BID's May 16, 2017, response is attached as Exhibit I.
- 26. Having received no further response and no responsive records, Petitioner, on February 17, 2018, sent a final follow-up email to the BID regarding Request #2, as well as to Requests #1 and #3. See Exhibit D.
- 27. As of this writing, it has been 334 days since Petitioner submitted Request #2 and, to date, the BID has not made a determination of disclosability, has not indicated whether responsive records exist, and has not provided any records in response to Request #2.

Request #3: materials distributed at the May 2, 2017, BID board meeting

28. On May 2, 2017, Petitioner submitted a CPRA request ("Request #3") to the BID for a single category of records: electronic copies of all material distributed at the BID's May 2, 2017, board meeting. Because the BID required no time to collect these records, and had no need to determine whether the records were exempt, Petitioner requested the records immediately.

Petitioner emailed the request to Kneafsey and Boylston. <u>A true and accurate copy of the email</u> containing Petitioner's 5/2/17, CPRA request is attached as Exhibit J.

- 29. The BID did not respond to Request #3 within the 10 day statutory deadline contained in § 6253(c). On May 30, 2017, Petitioner sent a follow-up email to the BID. In addition to inquiring as to the status of Request #3, Petitioner informed the BID of its duties to possess and disclose the records under the Brown Act and offered to inspect the records in person upon the BID's request. In addition to Kneafsey and Boylston, Petitioner included Carins and Hutchinson on this email. A true and accurate copy of Petitioner's May 30, 2017, email following up on Request #3 is attached at Exhibit K.
- 30. As of this writing, it has been 319 days since Petitioner submitted Request #3 and, to date, the BID has not made a determination of disclosability, has not indicated whether responsive records exist, and has not provided any records in response to Request #3.
- 31. Records obtained from the City of Los Angeles via a public records act request indicate that responsive records exist. On April 28, 2017, Hutchinson sent an email to an employee in the City Clerk's office regarding the May 2, 2017, BID board meeting. Attached to that email were both an agenda for the meeting and a biography of an individual being nominated to the board at the meeting. It is likely the biography was distributed at the meeting. The agenda also included an item titled "[r]eview and vote on amendments to the bylaws." It is likely materials were distributed regarding the bylaws amendment. True and accurate copies of the April 28, 2017, email, as well as the attached board agenda and biography of board nominee Gina St. Aubin are attached as Exhibit

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT GOV'T CODE § 6250, et seq.

- Petitioner incorporates herein by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 31
 above, as if set forth in full.
 - 33. As stated above, Respondent is subject to the CPRA, under both state law and the

terms of its contract with the City of Los Angeles. California Streets & Highways Code § 36612.

General principles of the California Public Records Act

- 34. Under the California Public Records Act, § 6250 et seq., all records that are prepared, owned, used, or retained by any public agency, and that are not subject to the CPRA's statutory exemptions to disclosure, must be made publicly available for inspection and copying upon request. § 6253.
 - 35. In enacting the CPRA, the legislature recognized that:

A requester, having no access to agency files, may be unable to precisely identify the documents sought. Thus, writings may be described by their content. The agency must then determine whether it has such writings under its control and the applicability of any exemption. An agency is thus obliged to search for records based on criteria set forth in the search request.

California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court, 67 Cal. App. 4th 159, 165-66 (1998); see § 6253(b).

- 36. Upon receiving a request, an agency must determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable records in the agency's possession. § 6253(c). An agency must inform the requestor as to its determination of disclosability within ten days of the agency's receipt of the request, barring unusual circumstances. § 6253(c). While an agency may adopt requirements for itself that allow for faster, more efficient, or greater public access to records than prescribed by the CPRA's minimum standards, an agency is not permitted to delay or obstruct the inspection or copying of records. § 6253(e); § 6253(d).
- An agency is required to make non-exempt records available "promptly" upon request. § 6253(b).
- 38. Where an agency withholds responsive records on the basis of a statutory exemption, "the agency ... must disclose that fact." *Haynie v. Superior Court* (2001) 26 Cal. 4th 1061, 1072 (citing § 6255). The agency bears the burden of justifying nondisclosure. § 6255(a). Even if parts of a particular document are exempt, the agency must disclose the remainder of the document. § 6253(a).
 - 39. If an agency fails to comply with these statutory provisions, the CPRA authorizes a

person to file a petition for writ of mandate to enforce their right to inspect or to receive a copy of the record. § 6258.

- 40. Whenever it is made to appear by verified petition to the superior court of the county where the records or some part thereof are situated that certain public records are being improperly withheld from a member of the public, the court shall order the officer or person charged with withholding the records to disclose the public record or show cause why he or she should not do so. The court shall decide the case after examining the record in camera (if permitted by the Evidence Code), papers filed by the parties, and any oral argument and additional evidence as the court may allow. § 6259(a).
- 41. The CPRA contains a mandatory attorney's fee provision for the prevailing plaintiff. § 6259(d). The purpose of the attorney's fee provision is to provide "protections and incentives for members of the public to seek judicial enforcement of their right to inspect public records subject to disclosure." Filarsky v. Superior Court (2002) 28 Cal.4th 419, 427.
- 42. A plaintiff prevails under the CPRA where the plaintiff shows that an agency unlawfully denied access to records. Community Youth Athletic Center v. City of National City (2013) 220 Cal.App.4th 1385, 1446-1447. An agency is not protected from liability merely because the denial of access was due to the agency's internal logistical problems or general neglect of its duties. Id.

The BID has repeatedly violated the CPRA, most notably by failing to provide responsive records

- 43. Here, Petitioner submitted three requests for various categories of records which are public and not exempt from disclosure. Petitioner's requests triggered Respondent's duty to locate responsive records, to determine whether those records are public and disclosable, and to provide those records promptly.
- 44. Respondent failed all of its duties under the CPRA and, in so doing, denied

 Petitioner access to all public records Petitioner requested. At no time did Respondent indicate that

 it had located the requested records. At no time did Respondent make a determination of

 disclosability. At no time did Respondent state under what exemptions, if any, it was withholding

6 45.

records. Most significantly, at no time did Respondent provide records in response to Request #1, Request #2, or Request #3.

A WRIT OF MANDATE FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF IS

APPROPRIATE

- Respondents have a clear, present, ministerial duty to comply with the California Constitution and Gov't Code § 6250, et seq.
- Petitioner has performed all conditions precedent to filing this petition. There are no administrative exhaustion requirements under Gov't Code § 6250, et seq.
- Petitioner has no plain, speedy, adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law other than the relief sought in this petition.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays as follows:

- That this Court issue a declaration that Respondent violated the California Public Records Act by its acts and omissions described in this petition.
- That the Court issue a peremptory writ of mandate directing Respondent to locate all requested records and to provide Petitioner with all requested records, except records that the Court determines may lawfully be withheld.
- 3. That Petitioner be awarded attorney's fees and costs; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper and just.

DATED: March 16, 2018

ABENICIO CISNEROS

Attorney for Petitioner

A3/77/7A18

VERIFICATION

I, ABENICIO CISNEROS, am the attorney for Petitioner Adrian Riskin in this action.

Petitioner is absent from the county in which I have my office. I have read the foregoing Petition for Writ of Mandate and know the contents thereof. I am informed and believe that the matters in it are true and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the petition are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this the 16th day of March, 2018 at Oakland, California.

Abenicio Cisneros Attorney for Adrian Riskin EXHIBIT A: Petitioner's April 16, 2017, email containing Request #1.

EXHIBIT B: Petitioner's May 2, 2017, email follow-up to Request #1.

EXHIBIT C: Petitioner's May 11, 2017, second email follow-up to Request #1.

EXHIBIT D: Petitioner's February 17, 2018, final email follow-up to Request #1, Request #2, and Request #3.

EXHIBIT E: January 4, 2017, email from Los Angeles City Clerk employee to BID President Kneafsey.

EXHIBIT F: Petitioner's April 17, 2017, email containing Request #2.

EXHIBIT G: Petitioner's April 28, 2017, email follow-up to Request #2.

EXHIBIT H: Petitioner's May 11, 2017, second email follow-up to Request #2.

EXHIBIT I: Respondent's May 16, 2017, response to Request #2,

EXHIBIT J: Petitioner's May 2, 2017, email containing Request #3.

EXHIBIT K: Petitioner's May 30, 2017, email follow-up to Request #3.

EXHIBIT L: April 28, 2017, email from BID Co-Executive Director Hutchinson to Los Angeles City Clerk employee regarding the May 2, 2017, BID board meeting and attached agenda and biography of board nominee Gina St. Aubin.

CPRA request (LVBID.2 - 7.04.16.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: erin.dolan@dolanknight.com, tom@metropolitanholding.com, heather@h-rpr.com

Subject: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.16.a)

Date: Sunday, April 16, 2017 7:41 PM

Size: 2 KB

Good evening, LVBID.

I'd like to inspect the following material:

- 1. Emails between anyone at the Larchmont Village BID, staff or board, and anyone at either lacity.org or lapd.online from between January 1, 2016 and March 31, 2017. Here "between" means to, from, cc, or bcc. I need to see these emails in some kind of native format, which means eml, msg, mbox, or pst exclusively. I will also need to see the attachments in their native formats, although they will be included automatically if the emails are exported to any of the formats listed. Please note that the html format in which you've previously sent me emails is not a native email format, does not produce copies of the records, and is not acceptable. If it will speed up your production, PDFs with the attachments embedded are a reasonable compromise to make. If you have questions on this, please let me know.
- 2. I recently asked you for copies of the minutes of your board meetings on October 13, 2014, October 15, 2015 and October 12, 2016. According to your attorney you are unable to locate these minutes. The California Corporations Code at section 1500 requires your organization's minutes to be "kept either in written form or in another form capable of being converted into clearly legible tangible form." Since you don't have them in written form, I'm assuming you have them "in another form capable of being converted" into written form. I would like to inspect copies of the minutes of these three meetings in that form, whatever it might be.
- 3. A copy of the contract with the BID consultant you all used for your most recent renewal process, if you used a consultant.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Adrian Riskin

HKILLINE

Re: CPRA request (LVB. 2017.04.16.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: erin.dolan@dolanknight.com, tom@metropolitanholding.com, heather@h-rpr.com

Subject: Re: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.16.a)

Date: Tuesday. May 02, 2017 8:28 PM

Size: 3 KB

Good evening, LVBID friends.

Is there any news on this request? As I'm sure you're aware, the law requires you all to have responded by April 26.

Thanks for your help.

Adrian

On Sun, Apr 16, 2017, at 07:41 PM, adrian@mailingaddress.org wrote:

Good evening, LVBID.

I'd like to inspect the following material:

- 1. Emails between anyone at the Larchmont Village BID, staff or board, and anyone at either lacity.org or lapd.online from between January 1, 2016 and March 31, 2017. Here "between" means to, from, cc, or bcc. I need to see these emails in some kind of native format, which means eml, msg, mbox, or pst exclusively. I will also need to see the attachments in their native formats, although they will be included automatically if the emails are exported to any of the formats listed. Please note that the html format in which you've previously sent me emails is not a native email format, does not produce copies of the records, and is not acceptable. If it will speed up your production, PDFs with the attachments embedded are a reasonable compromise to make. If you have questions on this, please let me know.
- 2. I recently asked you for copies of the mirutes of your board meetings on October 12, 3014, Actober 15, 2015 and October 12, 2015. According to your attorney you are unable to locate these minutes. The California Corporations Code at section 1500 requires your organization's minutes to be "kept either in written form or in another form capable of being converted into clearly legible tangible form." Since you don't have them in written form, I'm assuming you have them "in another form capable of being converted" into written form. I would like to inspect copies of the minutes of these three meetings in that form, whatever it might be.
- A copy of the contract with the BID consultant you all used for your most recent renewal process, if you used a consultant.

Thanks for your cooperation.

Adrian Riskin

Re: CPRA request (LVB, v. 2017.04.16.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: tom@metropolitanholding.com, heather@h-rpr.com, rebecca@h-rpr.com,

J. Thomas Cairns, Jr. <jtcairns@swesq.com>
Subject: Re: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.16.a)

Date: Thursday, May 11, 2017 7:13 AM

Size: 3 KB

Good morning, LVBID!

Is there any news on this request? A response was due on April 26.

Thanks.

Adrian

On Tue, May 2, 2017, at 08:28 PM, adrian@mailingaddress.org wrote:

Good evening, LVBID friends.

Is there any news on this request? As I'm sure you're aware, the law requires you all to have responded by April 26.

Thanks for your help,

Adrian

On Sun, Apr 16, 2017, at 07:41 PM, <u>adrian@mailingaddress.org</u> wrote:
Good evening, LVBID.

I'd like to inspect the following material:

- 1. Emails between anyone at the Larchmont Village BID, staff or board, and anyone at either lacity.org or lapd.online from between January 1, 2015 and March 31, 2017. Here "between" means to, from, cc, or bcc. I need to see these emails in some kind of native format, which means eml, msg, mbox, or pst exclusively. I vill also need to see the attachments in their native formats, although they will be included automatically if the emails are exported to any of the formats listed. Please note that the html format in which you've previously sent me emails is not a native email format, does not produce copies of the records, and is not acceptable. If it will speed up your production, PDFs with the attachments embedded are a reasonable compromise to make. If you have questions on this, please let me know.
- 2. I recently asked you for copies of the minutes of your board meetings on October 13, 2014, October 15, 2015 and October 12, 2016. According to your attorney you are unable to locate these minutes. The California Corporations Code at section 1500 requires your organization's minutes to be "kept either in written form or in another form capable of being converted into clearly legible tangible form." Since you don't have them in written form, I'm assuming you have them "in another form capable of being converted" into written form. I would like to inspect copies of the minutes of these three meetings in that form, whatever it might be.
- 3. A copy of the contract with the BID consultant you all used for your most recent renewal process, if you used a consultant.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Re: CPRA request (LVB₁, 2017.04.16.a LVBID.2017.04... ... a LVBID.2017.05.02.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: Heather Boylston < heather@h-rpr.com>, Tom Kneafsey < tom@metropolitanholding.com>,

J. Thomas Cairns, Jr. < jtcairns@swesq.com>, rebecca@h-rpr.com, info@larchmontvillagebid.com,

Heather Boylston < heather@larchmontvillagebid.com>

Subject: Re: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.16.a LVBID.2017.04.17.a LVBID.2017.05.02.a)

Date: Saturday, February 17, 2018 3:33 PM

Size: 1 KB

Good afternoon, LVBID.

Last year I sent you CPRA requests on the following dates:

1. April 16, 2017

2. April 17, 2017

3. May 2, 2017

To date I have not received any response to these requests. Please let me know their status as soon as possible.

Thanks,

Adrian Riskin



Larchmont Village Annual Planning Report Approved

1 message

Clerk NBIDs <Clerk.NBIDS@lacity.org>
To: tom@metropolitanholding.com

Cc: Rita.Moreno@lacity.org

Wed, Jan 4, 2017 at 5:43 PM



Congratulations, we like to inform you that the Larchmont Village annual planning report has been approved.

Attached is the approved clerk report

For questions or comments, please contact the B.I.D. analyst, Rita Moreno: Rita.Moreno@lacity.org

Thank you,

Office of the City Clerk Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) City of Los Angeles



CPRA request (LVBID.2__7.04.17.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: erin.dolan@dolanknight.com, Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>,

Heather Boylston <heather@h-rpr.com>
CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.17.a)

Date: Monday, April 17, 2017 6:56 AM

Size: 1 KB

Subject:

Good morning, Larchmont Village.

I'd like to obtain copies in their native electronic format of the following material:

- 1. Agendas for all meetings of the LVBID Board and its committees, if any, from January 1, 2014 through the present. These should be copies of the agendas you posted outside your principal office 72 hours in advance of the meetings or 24 hours in advance of meetings called special.
- 2. All emails between anyone at the BID, staff or Board member, from October 2016, that relates to the October 2016 Board meeting. If it's feasible to search for this specific topic, and if there aren't too many of these emails, I'd like to see all emails that relate to the operations of the BID from October 2016.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Adrian Riskin

Re: CPRA request (LVB. 2017.04.17.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: erin.dolan@dolanknight.com, Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>,

Heather Boylston <heather@h-rpr.com>, Heather Boylston <heather@larchmontvillagebid.com>

Subject: Re: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.17.a)

Date: Friday, April 28, 2017 5:07 PM

Size: 3 KB

Greetings, long incommunicado LVBID friends!

Is there any news on this request? An initial response was due yesterday.

Also, I notice from an email recently sent to me by Heather D. Boylston with an attached agenda that at least some of you BID-folks use Outlook. If it would make it easier for you to respond to this request in something approximating a timely manner, I would be willing to accept, rather than natively formatted copies of emails (em.l. msg, mbox, or pst), the kind of PDF with embedded attachments that Outlook can produce, possibly in combination with some kind of Adobe software.

Nicole Shahenian, ED of your friendly neighbor to the Northeast, uses this to make copies of emails for me, and perhaps she'd be willing to help you get started with it if you don't know what I mean. Please note though, that as I said above, this PDF format is a compromise, and is only acceptable in lieu of actual copies of emails in the above-listed formats if it sue will make the CPRA process significantly faster.

Thanks again for all your forthcoming help,

Adrian

On Mon, Apr 17, 2017, at 06:56 AM, <u>adrian@mailingaddress.org</u> wrote:

Good morning, Larchmont Village.

- I'd like to obtain copies in their native electronic format of the following material:
- Agendas for all meetings of the LVBID Board and its committees, if any, from January 1, 2014 through the present. These should be copies of the agendas you posted outside your principal office 72 hours in advance of the meetings or 24 hours in advance of meetings called special.
- 2. All emails between anyone at the BID, staff or Board member, from October 2016, that relates to the October 2016 Board meeting. If it's feasible to search for this specific topic, and if there aren't too many of these emails, I'd like to see all emails that relate to the operations of the BID from October 2016.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Adrian Riskin

Re: CPRA request (LVB. -. . 2017.04.17.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>, Heather Boylston <heather@h-rpr.com>,

Heather Boylston <heather@larchmontvillagebid.com>, rebecca@h-rpr.com,

J. Thomas Cairns, Jr. <jtcairns@swesq.com>

Subject: Re: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.17.a)

Date: Thursday, May 11, 2017 7:14 AM

Size: 3 KB

Good morning, LVBID.

Is there any news on this request? A response is now close to four weeks overdue.

thanks.

Adrian

On Fri, Apr 28, 2017, at 05:07 PM, adrian@mailingaddress.org wrote:

Greetings, long incommunicado LVBID friends!

Is there any news on this request? An initial response was due vesterday.

Also, I notice from an email recently sent to me by Heather D. Boylston with an attached agend at hat at least some of you BID-folks use Outlook. If it would make it easier for you to respond to this request in something approximating a timely manner, I would be willing to accept, rather than natively formatted copies of femials (eml, msg. mbox, or pst), the kind of PDF with embedded attachments that Outlook can produce, possibly in combination with some kind of Adobe software.

Nicole Shahenian, ED of your friendly neighbor to the Northeast, uses this to make copies of emalls for me, and perhaps she'd be willing to help you get started with it if you don't know what I mean. Please note though, that as I said above, this PDF format is a compromise, and is only acceptable in lieu of actual copies of emails in the above-listed formats if it sue will make the CPRA process significantly faster.

Thanks again for all your forthcoming help,

Adrian

On Mon, Apr 17, 2017, at 06:56 AM, <u>adrian@mailingaddress.org</u> wrote:
Good morning, Larchmont Village.

I'd like to obtain copies in their native electronic format of the following material:

- Agendas for all meetings of the LVBID Board and its committees, if any, from January 1, 2014 through the present. These should be copies of the agendas you posted outside your principal office 72 hours in advance of the meetings or 24 hours in advance of meetings called special.
- 2. All emails between anyone at the BID, staff or Board member, from October 2016, that relates to the October 2016 Board meeting. If it's

feasible to search for this speci pic, and if there aren't too many of these emails, I'd like to see au emails that relate to the operations of the BID from October 2016.

Thanks for your cooperation,

Adrian Dickin

RE: CPRA request (LVB. _. . 2017.04.17.a)

From: J. Thomas Cairns, Jr. <itcairns@swesq.com>

To: adrian@mailingaddress.org, Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>,

Heather Boylston <heather@h-rpr.com>, Heather Boylston <heather@larchmontvillagebid.com>,

rebecca@h-rpr.com

X-Delivered-To: adrian@mailingaddress.org

 Cc:
 Colleen Flynn < cflynnlaw@yahoo.com>

 Subject:
 RE: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.17.a)

 Date:
 Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:53 AM

Size: 40 KB

Mr Riskind

The LVBID is currently reviewing your request and its records. We expect to respond further within the next 14 days.

Best Regards,



J. Thomas Cairns, Jr. Senior Counsel

333 South Hope Street, 36th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

T: (213) 229-2868 F: (213) 229-2870 W: www.swesg.com

This email communication may contain CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION WHICH ALSO MAY BE LEGALLY PRIVILECED and is intended only for the use of the intended recipients intendiffed above. If you are not be innended recipient of this communication, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review use, dissemination, distribution, downloading, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by reply email, delete the communication deserved in confidence of the communication is server.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 MOTICE: To ensure complannee with requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Service, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (or in any attachment) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (i) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this communication (or in any attachment).

----Original Message----

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org [mailto:adrian@mailingaddress.org]

Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 7:14 AM

To: Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>; Heather Boylston <heather@h-rpr.com>; Heather Boylston

് <heather@larchmontvillagebid.com>; rebecca@h-rpr.com; J. Thomas Cairns, Jr. <itcairns@swesq.com>

Subject: Re: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.17.a)

Good morning, LVBID.

Is there any news on this request? A response is now close to four weeks overdue.

thanks,

Adrian

On Fri, Apr 28, 2017, at 05:07 PM, adrian@mailingaddress.org wrote:

```
RE: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.04.17.a) | FastMail
> Greetings, long incommunicado L'
                                        friends!
> Is there any news on this request? An initial response was due
> vesterday.
> Also, I notice from an email recently sent to me by Heather D.
> Boylston with an attached agenda that at least some of you BID-folks use Outlook.
> If it would make it easier for you to respond to this request in
> something approximating a timely manner, I would be willing to accept,
> rather than natively formatted copies of emails (eml, msg, mbox, or
> pst), the kind of PDF with embedded attachments that Outlook can
> produce, possibly in combination with some kind of Adobe software.
> Nicole Shahenian, ED of your friendly neighbor to the Northeast, uses
> this to make copies of emails for me, and perhaps she'd be willing to
> help you get started with it if you don't know what I mean. Please
> note though, that as I said above, this PDF format is a compromise,
> and is only acceptable in lieu of actual copies of emails in the
> above-listed formats if its use will make the CPRA process significantly faster.
> Thanks again for all your forthcoming help,
> Adrian
> On Mon. Apr 17, 2017, at 06:56 AM, adrian@mailingaddress.org wrote:
> > Good morning, Larchmont Village,
>> I'd like to obtain copies in their native electronic format of the
> > following material:
>> 1. Agendas for all meetings of the LVBID Board and its committees,
>> if any, from January 1, 2014 through the present. These should be
>> copies of the agendas you posted outside your principal office 72
>> hours in advance of the meetings or 24 hours in advance of meetings
```

> > called special.

>> 2. All emails between anyone at the BID, staff or Board member, from > > October 2016, that relates to the October 2016 Board meeting. If

>> it's feasible to search for this specific topic, and if there aren't

>> too many of these emails, I'd like to see all emails that relate to

>> the operations of the BID from October 2016.

> > Thanks for your cooperation, ` `

> > Adrian Riskin

CPRA request (LVBID.2 ... 1.05.02.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: Heather Boylston <heather@h-rpr.com>, Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>

Subject: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.05.02.a) **Date:** Tuesday, May 02, 2017 8:26 PM

Size: 924 B

Good evening, LVBID friends.

Can you please send me electronic copies of all material distributed at your meeting this morning? Also, can you please send them immediately as required by CPRA? As I'm sure you're aware; that 10 day reply deadline in CPRA is meant for collecting records and deciding whether they're exempt. These aren't exempt by definition and you all almost certainly have them in one place already.

Thanks.

Adrian

Re: CPRA request (LVBL_.2017.05.02.a)

From: adrian@mailingaddress.org

To: Heather Boylston <heather@h-rpr.com>, Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>,

J. Thomas Cairns, Jr. <jtcairns@swesq.com>, rebecca@h-rpr.com

Subject: Re: CPRA request (LVBID.2017.05.02.a)

Date: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 4:06 PM

Size: 2 KB

Good afternoon, LVBID.

I am worried that perhaps you haven't understood something about the nature of this request or of the CPRA or the Brown Act. The Brown Act requires you to make the information I've requested here available at the actual meeting. Thus there's no question about whether or not it is exempt under the CPRA. It is not exempt due to an express provision of the Brown Act.

The CPRA requires you to make non-exempt information available promptly. The 10/24 days allowed for response are allowed only for the purpose of determining if the requested material exists and, if so, if it's exempt. Neither of those reasons for delay apply here. Thus you are required to have made this material available as soon as I requested it. Even if that were not the case, the longest conceivable deadline allowed by the CPRA under any circumstances whatsover expired on Friday, May 26.

Please either send me copies immediately or let me know when I can come in to your office to look at them. Any time tomorrow or Thursday works for me

thanks

Adrian

On Tue, May 2, 2017, at 08:26 PM, <u>adrian@mailingaddress.org</u> wrote:

| Good evening LVBID friends.

Can you please send me electronic copies of all material distributed at your meeting this moning? Also, can you please send them immediately as required by CPRA? As I'm sure you're aware, that 10 day reply deadline in CPRA is meant for collecting records and deciding whether they're exempt. These aren't exempt by definition and you all almost certainly have them in one place already.

Thanks.

Adrian

もでんべんんくかは



Larchmont Village BID Board meeting on Tuesday

2 messages

Rebecca Hutchinson <rebecca@larchmontvillagebid.com>

Fri. Apr 28, 2017 at 3:35 PM

To: Rita Moreno <nta.moreno@lacity.org>

Cc: Heather Duffy Boylston heather@larchmontvillagebid.com, Tom Kneafsey tom Kneafsey <a

Hi Rita — We are having the Larchmont Village BID Board meeting this Tuesday morning at 9am. Attached is the agenda and a brief bio on Gina St. Aubin as she will be nominated to our board at this meeting.

Location: 200 North Larchmont at 9:00 on Tuesday, May 2.

Please let me know if you'll be able to attend.

Thanks! Rebecca

Rebecca Hutchinson Co-Executive Director Larchmont Village Business Improvement District 200 N. Larchmont Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90004 www.larchmont/illagebid.com

rebecca@larchmontvillagebid.com 323.646.6406

2 attachments

Agenda_May 2_final.docx 328K

Gina R St. Aubin BIO.docx

Rita Moreno <rita.moreno@lacity.org>

Fri. Apr 28, 2017 at 5:14 PM

To: Rebecca Hutchinson <rebecca@larchmontvillagebid.com>

Cc: Heather Duffy Boylston <heather@larchmontvillagebid.com>, Tom Kneafsey <tom@metropolitanholding.com>

∃Hi Rebecca,

Thank you for the notice. Yes, I'll join you.

Have a great weekend!

Rita

[Quoted text hidden]

Ξ. . .

Rita Moreno City of Los Angeles

Office of the City Clerk
Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division

200 N. Spring Street, 2nd Floor #237

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Office (213) 978-1122 Fax (213) 978-1130







Larchmont Village Property Owners Assoc. Board Meeting Agenda May 2, 2017 @ 9:00 A.M. 200 North Larchmont Blvd. Los Angeles. CA 90004

I. Call to Order & Roll Call

II. Public Comments

The Larchmont Business Improvement District (LVBID) welcomes the community to our Board meetings. Due to the Brown Act, the Board hears public comment but does not engage in dialogue with public speakers and will refer items to the Board for follow up as needed. At this time any person wishing to speak to any item not on the agenda will be granted three minutes to make a public comment to the Board of Directors. Any person wishing to speak to any item on the agenda can either make the public comment (not to exceed three minutes) at this time or when the item comes up on the agenda.

III. Reports to the Board

- a. Brown Act review
- b. Nominate Gina St. Aubin to the Board
- c. Nominate new secretary
- d. Website update
- · e. New tenant update
 - f. Trees/Sidewalks update/recommendations from Tree Case Mgmt.
 - Review and vote on amendments to bylaws
- IV. Adjournment

This legislative body conducts business under the meeting requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act.

MEETING AGENDA & RELATED MATERIALS

Agendas for regular board meetings as defined by the Brown Act will be posted at the meeting site and the legislative body's website, if applicable, at least 72 hours prior to the start of the meeting. Agendas for special meetings as defined by the Brown Act will be posted at the meeting site and the legislative body's website, if applicable, 24 hours prior to the start of the meeting. Materials relating to an agenda topic that is a matter of public record in open session, will be made available for public inspection 72 hours prior to the start of the meeting, or, alternatively, when the materials are distributed to at least a majority of board members.

THE ORDER OF BUSINESS MAY BE CHANGED WITHOUT NOTICE Notice is hereby given that the order of consideration of matters on this agenda may be changed without prior notice.

REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION WILL BE PROVIDED FOR ANY INDIVIDUAL WITH A DISABILITY

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and its implementing regulations, the LVBID will provide reasonable accommodations upon request, which must be received 48 hours in advance of the desired meeting date. To request such an accommodation, please contact Heather Boylston at 323-463-4220 or heather@larchmontvillage.com.

Posted Friday, April 27, 2017

Gina R. St. Aubin, CPM

Gina St. Aubin is a real estate professional, currently working for Simms, Inc., a real estate development and investment company located in Los Angeles, California. Gina has been involved with the real estate industry for approximately 20 years and has held positions both on the "tenant" and "landlord" side with companies such as Home Savings of America, Times Mirror, Mutual of New York, Charles Dunn, Colliers International and privately owned real estate investment groups in Los Angeles and San Diego County.

819010818

CASE N

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- Step 1: After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- Step 3: In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- 7. Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited
- non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

Anto	Tort

Sther Personal/Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1, 4, 11
Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11 1, 11
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1, 4, 11
Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) A7230 Intentional Bodily Injuny/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, wardslam, etc.) A7270 Intentional Infliction of Ernotional Distress A7220 Other Personal Injuny/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11

CASE P

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

Contract

Employment

A Civil Case Cover Sheet	B Type of Action	C Applicable Reasons - See Step
Category No.	(Check only one)	Above
Business Tort (07)	□ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
Defamation (13)	□ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice	1, 2, 3
Professional regilgence (20)	☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	1, 2, 3
Wrongful Termination (36)	□ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
011 - 5	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case	1, 2, 3
Other Employment (15)	☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10
	☐ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2, 5
Breach of Contract/ Warranty	A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2, 5
(06) (not insurance)	☐ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1, 2, 5
	☐ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1, 2, 5
	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	5, 6, 11
Collections (09)	☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	5, 11
	A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	5, 6, 11
Insurance Coverage (18)	□ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
	☐ A6009 Contractual Fraud	1, 2, 3, 5
Other Contract (37)	☐ A6031 Tortious Interference	1, 2, 3, 5
	☐ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1, 2, 3, 8, 9
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2, 6
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
	☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure	2, 6
Other Real Property (26)	☐ A6032 Quiet Title	2, 6
	☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2, 6
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	□ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 6, 11

SHORT TITLE:
Riskin v. Larchmont Village Property Owners Association

CASE N

C Applicable В Reasons - See Step 3 Type of Action Civil Case Cover Sheet (Check only one) Above Category No. 2, 3, 6 Asset Forfeiture (05) □ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case □ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration 2.5 Petition re Arbitration (11) Judicial Review 2, 8 □ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus (2) Writ of Mandate (02) A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter 2 □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review □ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review 2.8 Other Judicial Review (39) □ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation 1, 2, 8 Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Provisionally Complex Litigation 1, 2, 3 Construction Defect (10) □ A6007 Construction Defect Claims Involving Mass Tort □ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort 1.2.8 (40)Securities Litigation (28) ☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case 1, 2, 8 Toxic Tort □ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental 1, 2, 3, 8 Environmental (30) Insurance Coverage Claims □ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only) 1, 2, 5, 8 from Complex Case (41) □ A6141 Sister State Judgment 2, 5, 11 □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment 2.6 Inforcement 2, 9 □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) Enforcement of Judgment (20) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) 2.8 □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax 2.8 □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 2.8.9 RICO (27) □ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case 1, 2, 8 Civil Complaints Miscellaneous □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only 1, 2, 8 □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) 2, 8 Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) 1, 2, 8 □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 1, 2, 8 Partnership Corporation 2.8 □ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case Governance (21) Miscellarieóus/ ≿⊍ ☐ A6121 Civil Harassment 2.3.9 2, 3, 9 Sivil Petitions ☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case 2, 3, 9 Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) □ A6190 Election Contest 2 □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender 2, 7 □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 2.3.8 ☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition 2.9

Riskin v. Larchmont Village	Property Owners Association	CASE 1	R	

Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON:		10. 🗆 11.	ADDRESS: 200 N. Larchmont Blvd.
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
Los Angeles	CA	90004	

Dated: 3/16/18	Much
	(SIZNATURE OF ATTORNEY/EII ING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.